## Parallel Algorithms for $\mathcal{H}$-matrices

- Definitions
- Load balancing
- Matrix-Vector-Multiplication
- Matrix-Multiplication
- Matrix-Inversion


## Definitions

- Let $I$ be an indexset,
- $T_{I}$ is a clustertree over $I$,
- $T_{I \times I}$ is a block-clustertree defined by $T_{I}$ and some adm. criterion.
- for all nodes $t \in T_{I \times I}$ let $S(t)$ be the sons of $t$,
- $L\left(T_{I \times I}\right)$ denotes the set of leafs of $T_{I \times I}$ with $N=\left|L\left(T_{I \times I}\right)\right|$
- $p \geq 1$ is the number of processors with $p \ll N$


## Goal

- parallelise building of $\mathcal{H}$-matrix and $\mathcal{H}$-matrix-arithmetics
- memory and computation should be balanced among processors
- algorithms should support wide range of machines (shared- and distributed-memory)


## Load-Balancing

- data-based balancing:
- let $c: T_{I \times I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a cost-function,
- we are looking for a function

$$
d: T_{I \times I} \rightarrow\{-1,0, \ldots, p-1\}
$$

such that

$$
\max _{i<p} \sum_{t \in L\left(T_{I \times I}\right): d(t)=i} c(t)
$$

is minimised.

- restriction: $\forall t \in T_{I \times I}, t^{\prime} \in S(t): d(t) \neq-1 \Longrightarrow d\left(t^{\prime}\right)=d(t)$
- computation-based balancing:
- cost-function $c$ defined over operations
- same min-max-problem
- problem: definition of cost-function


## Scheduling-Algorithms

- LPT-list-scheduling :
- works on set of items (matrix-blocks, operations),
- order items according to costs
- schedule items in ordered list to processor with minimal costs,
- $\left(\frac{4}{3}-\frac{1}{3 p}\right)$-approximation scheme,
- complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n \log n+n p)$, where $n$ is the number of items
- Sequence-partitioning :
- works on sequence (array) of items,
- partition sequence into sub-sequences such that costs of most expensive sub-sequence is minimised,
- problem can be solved in $\mathcal{O}(n p)$
- can be approximated by greedy-algorithm in less time
- in data-based balancing: with correct ordering of blocks, we have data-locality


## Examples for Data-Based-Balancing

LPT


Sequence-part. 1


Sequence-part. 2


## Matrix-Vector-Multiplication

- want to compute $y=A x$, where $A$ is a $\mathcal{H}$-matrix,
- for all leafs $T \in L\left(T_{I \times I}\right)$ let $M_{A}(t)$ denote the corresponding matrix-block in $A$,
- Algorithm for processor $i$ :

```
each proc has copy of }x\mathrm{ and }
for all t\inL(T}\mp@subsup{T}{I\timesI}{\prime})\mathrm{ do
    if }d(t)=i\mathrm{ then multiply }\mp@subsup{M}{A}{}(t)\mathrm{ with }x\mathrm{ ;
scatter local copy to all processors;
add copies from other processors to local copy;
```

- not perfect scaling because whole vector must be transferred and added on each processor
- solution: with data-locality, only store part of vector on each processor


## Matrix-Matrix-Multiplication

- Want to compute $C=A B$, where $A, B$ and $C$ are $\mathcal{H}$-matrices.


## Shared-Memory

1. data-based balancing:

- distribute destination-matrix $C$
- use serial algorithm on each processor but only work on local part of block-clustertree

2. computation-based balancing:

- simulate multiplication (store list of block-multiplications)
- distribute list of block-multiplications with the restriction that each dest-block is only on one processor
- do block-multiplications assigned to each processor
- problems: definition of cost-function, how to handle blocked destination-blocks


## Numerical Results

- partitioning from 2D-FEM on unit-square, constant rank 5, constant filling
- times in seconds

| dof / p | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4096 | 249.6 | 123.8 | 86.0 | 66.7 | 54.3 | 44.9 | 39.0 | 37.1 |
|  |  | 123.3 | 83.5 | 63.6 | 50.5 | 43.0 | 36.1 | 28.7 |
|  |  | $(2.0 / 2.0)$ | $(2.9 / 3.0)$ | $(3.7 / 3.9)$ | $(4.6 / 4.9)$ | $(5.5 / 5.8)$ | $(6.4 / 6.9)$ | $(6.7 / 8.7)$ |
| 2175.5 | 1465.4 | 751.6 | 576.3 | 468.6 | 410.9 | 348.7 | 309.5 |  |
|  |  | 1106.4 | 725.2 | 573.0 | 444.2 | 375.1 | 314.2 | 265.1 |
|  |  | $(1.5 / 2.0)$ | $(2.9 / 3.0)$ | $(3.8 / 3.8)$ | $(4.6 / 4.9)$ | $(5.3 / 5.8)$ | $(6.2 / 6.9)$ | $(7.0 / 8.2)$ |

## Distributed Memory

- Problem: how to handle communication and when to synchronise
- BSP-computation:
- one step of a BSP-computation:
* local computation
* communication
* synchronisation
- BSP-algorithm for matrix-multiplication:
- use computation-based balancing
- simulate multiplication
- partition local operations into steps (balanced among processors)
- step:
* transfer matrix-blocks needed for local operations
* do multiplications
* transfer results
- algorithm allows to control amount of communication by choosing number of steps


## Matrix-Inversion

Assume following structure of $\mathcal{H}$-matrices:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{0} & A_{1} \\
\hline A_{2} & A_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using the Schur-complement the inverse of $A$ is:

$$
A^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{0}^{-1}+A_{0}^{-1} A_{1} S^{-1} A_{2} A_{0}^{-1} & -A_{0}^{-1} A_{1} S^{-1} \\
\hline-S^{-1} A_{2} A_{0}^{-1} & S^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
S=A_{3}-A_{2} A_{0}^{-1} A_{1} .
$$

The algorithm for inversion is:

```
procedure invert ( \(A, C, T\) )
    if \(A\) is dense then \(C=A^{-1}\);
    else
        invert \(\left(A_{0}, C_{0}, T_{0}\right)\);
        \(T_{1}=C_{0} A_{1} ; T_{2}=A_{2} C_{0} ; \quad\{\) mult. in parallel \(\}\)
        \(A_{3}=A_{3}-A_{2} T_{1} ;\)
        invert \(\left(A_{3}, C_{3}, T_{3}\right) ; \quad\{\) build Schur-compl. \(\}\)
        \(C_{1}=-T_{1} C_{3} ; C_{2}=-C_{3} T_{2} ; \quad\{\) mult. in parallel \(\}\)
        \(C_{0}=C_{0}-T_{1} C_{2} ;\)
endif; end;
```

- only minimal internal parallelity
- therefore only multiplications can be parallelised
- needs fast online-scheduling
- problem: cost-function for matrix-multiplication is expansive

